Presume

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

193 S.
(Mysore),

File No. 238-35

Serial Nos. 1-4.

Letter References.

Mysore 15-30-4-24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for January 1937

SUBJECT.

Appeal against the order of the Mysore Court in the matter of the lease of certain lands at Singana halli and Jaina-halli Mahajanagar Hobli belonging to Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud for Panchanal grama from 1928-29.

To. Messrs Jayaramayaka and Ramayya
Chikkahariya Village Mahajanagar Nanjangud Taluk

1004 G. 21-1-37

Intimate that their petition is rejected

Sl. 4.

WD 0418—GPH—22,500—29-8-36

Serial No.	
1	Petition of 4.4.1936 from Javana nayaka and Ramayya of Chickabherya Village Kishnarajanagar Taluk appealing against the order of the Mysore Commissioner in the Matter of the lease of certain lands at Singanahalli and Jainahalli - Kishnarj.-pet - Taluk belonging to Sri Srikanteshwara Swamy temple at Nanjangud for Panchasal Quota from 1928-1929 (1) order of the Com See on the P.A.D. (2) " Please get a report " 3) Memo of transmittal for the P. K. B's for approval GS 16.4.36 17/4 4) Dr 17/4/36
2	To The M.C. No 1456 of 20-4-36 Forwards the petition for favour of a report Letter no 77/35-36 of 22.12.1936 from the Mysore Commissioner submitting a report on the petition of Javana nayaka and Ramaiya bin Obliah, the lessees of the Nanjangud temple lands at Singanahalli and Jainhalli - Kishnarajpet Taluk, 5 The Dy Secy to the Govt

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03094—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

are given in detail in the Mysore D.C's letter No. S 23/32 330 of 5/1/33 Col. 4 which was sent to the M.C. vide memo No. C 2019 of 23.2.33. What is referred to in the memo No. 2019 of 23/2/33 is only D.C's letter of 31st Dec. 1932. In fact no letter of 5/1/33 from the D.C. Mysore is among the records. The cases of the petrs have been fully reported in paras 4 & 5 of the Mysore D.C's letter of 31.12.1932. A total sum of Rs 165/- has been ordered by the M.C. to be remitted in respect of S. No 109, as the land was reported to be lying fallow.

6. As regards Doddiah, he is reported to have paid fully the annual quitta of Rs 272 in respect of S. Nos 64 and 68 for the first three years commencing from 1928-29. For the 4th year of lease he is stated to have paid only Rs 150/- leaving a balance of Rs 122/- which sum was recommended by the D.C. to be remitted & this has been refused by the M.C. No mention is made in the report

Serial No.

[illegible]

As the records in original are not sent here, it is not possible to say under which circumstances the rate of remission of [illegible] was recommended in this case. The R.O.C. in his communication to the D.C. has already observed that the grant of concession in these cases would be inconvenient precedents for other lessees to claim similar concession. It is stated that the question of concession may arise in cases where there is a thorough failure of rains or the crops having been washed off by floods & such other causes beyond control. In this particular case no such extra[illegible] causes exist."

7. In para 3 of the P.W. the petrs say that the lease right was not sold at the spot where the lands are situated. If it were so, it was open to them not to have bid in the auction sale.

4 seems [illegible]

WD 0417 -GPB—20,000 8 9 36

Praye of certain reply to remission of
petn in respect of certain lands belonging
to Sri Sri Kanteswara Swami temple
at Vizagapatam

File No. 238 of 1935.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Sent by the M.C., i.e. non payment of
rent the time if any

} A

i. In para 4 of the R. the petitioner
says that there has been an abnormal
fall in prices from the year 1928-
It is submitted that this plea is inadmissible
as the ryots are free, as they
would doubtless volunteer to pay more
for the benefit of the temple of
there was a corresponding
rise in prices - Anyway
it is respectfully submitted that
no good reasons exist for to
interfering with the orders of
the M.C. in this interlocutory case
Subd with regd to para 4 of the affa

9. 9. With reference to the portion of the case-workers note in para 7 of the notes marked "A" in the margin, it does not seem to be necessary to call for the deed of lease.

10) The letter of D.C. dated 31st December 1932 to the Mal. States in detail the facts of the case and the M.C. rightly advised that a sum of Rs 165 is in fact

Request of certain ryots for remission of rents in respect of certain lands belonging to Sri Sankaracharya Swamiji Mutt of Nanjangud

General and Revenue Secretariat 1163 File No. 238 of 1936. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

S. No 104. Land in Davara Irani be remitted, as it was upheld to be tyrai Saguvali. As regards the other S. Nos the representation of the petitioners is that they did not realize any benefit from the land, and it is submitted that this circumstance cannot be made a ground for the grant of remission, when the failure of crops was due to deficiency of rains, or other extraordinary causes, and as such the order of M.C. called for will not interfere and the petition may be rejected.

— 2 —

Gen Secy)

For perusal. The lessees' failure to pay was due to the fall in prices but it does not seem that they can be excused the dues on this account. If they had cultivated the land and tried to pay some concession might have been thought of but Apparently they wished to shake off the lease and made no honest attempt to meet their obligations. The petition has no merits & be rejected

Snd 15/1/37

Snd member

GENERAL SECRETARY. 12 JAN. 1937 307

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 13 JAN. 1937 NO. 4937

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W D 0417—GPE—30,000—S 9 36

6)

File No. of 193 37 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

13

20 1 37.

2nd. 21/11/-

No 1004 of 21.1.1937 to the petitioners intimating that their petition is rejected.

Memo no 1005 of 21.1.37 to the Magistrate Commissioner forwarding Copy of the above.

Petition of 22.1.37 filed by Mr Shankaralad Advocate Bangalore city on behalf of Javara Naick and Ramaiya the petitioners stating that the Taluk authorities are taking Coercive Steps as ordd on his appeal petition presented in April 1936 and now pending on the request set forth therein.

As the appeal petn was rejected, and the endt to the petn was issued on 21.1.1937 to the addressee the petrs — Chikkahaly a Village Krishnarajnagar Tq, and before the receipt of this endt, they have filed this memo petn — A copy of Endt no 1004 of 21.1.37 may be sent to the Advocate for the petitioners for information (in case of the Vakalat being 22.1.37.) dated for ordrs

16) Gn 2 26/11/-

Gn 26.1.37. 26.1.37

Gn 26/11/-

Gn 27/11/-

Serial No.

Memo no 1042 of 28.1.37 to Mr. B. Shankara Rao B.A. B.L. Advocate for the petitioners forwarding copy of the Endorsement issued the petitioners Writs with reference to petition filed by him on 22.1.37

B
29.1.37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—20,000-8-9-36

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	





BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

Muz 238-35-1

1122

16 APR 1936

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 15 APR. 1936

Respectfully sheweth,

1. The humble Petitioners undermentioned most respectfully beg to lay this humble petition before the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore for gracious consideration and early orders.

2. The Petitioners took up the lease of the following lands at Singanahalli and Jainhalli in the Krishnarajpet Taluk belonging to the Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud for a period of 5 years commencing from 1928-29

Javara Naika.	S.Nos.	55, 82, 108, 109, 110 & 111.
Ramiah bin Dolliah.		64 & 68.

The annual gutha payable in respect of the former was Rs. 344-0-0 and Rs. 222/- in respect of the latter. The Petitioners paid the stipulated rent for the first three years, viz., 1928-29, 29-30 and 30-31 in respect of all the S.Nos. against great odds and heavy difficulties except in respect of S.No. 109 which was unsuited for cultivation altogether. Being unable to bear the burden any longer and having come to the end of all ~~our~~ Their resources ~~they~~ applied to the local officers and to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District to terminate the lease and free ~~us~~ them from ~~our~~ their responsibility or in the alternative to reduce the gutha upon a full consideration of all the circumstances of ~~our~~ their hard case.

3. Petitioners beg to submit that they took up the lease without a personal knowledge of the nature of the land as the lease right was not sold at the spot where the lands are situated and under the pressure brought to bear upon them by Patel Ramachandriah of Akkihebbal--who had his own ulterior motives in the matter. The Petitioners are god-fearing and law-abiding poor ryots and are now completely deceived as a result of having entered into this transaction on the advice tendered by interested persons. Besides the Petitioners did not bid and take up the lands prompted solely to derive personal gain but they had in view the interests of the Sri Sri-kanteswaraswami, their family deity.

4. Petitioners beg to submit that at the time they took up the lease of the lands the price of paddy was ruling as high as Rs. 20/- per candi but as ill-luck would have it, the price began to fall down abnormally from the year 1928 so much so that in 1931-32 it was as low as Rs. 5/- per candi. Not-withstanding these disabilities the petitioners discharged their liability honestly and faithfully for the first few years and their resources having been crippled down beyond redemption they had to commit a default in the payment of the rent during the remaining period of the lease.

5. Petitioners beg to submit that upon incessant representations made by them, searching and elaborate enquiries were made on the then existing conditions and the petitioners have reason to believe that the local officers were fully satisfied about the formers bonafides and recommended their hard and pitiable

case for the consideration of higher officers. Owing to the misfortune that pursued the humble Petitioners in all their honest attempts, gutha due in respect of S.No. 109 was partially remitted in spite of the fact that a portion of the S.No. measuring 2A-18G was wholly unsuited for cultivation and that even the remaining portion was lying fallow for the major part of the lease period.

6. Petitioners beg to submit that they applied to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore against this decision who without giving an opportunity to the humble petitioners to lay their case before him for gracious consideration decided adversely to their interest. The endorsement said to have been issued to the Petitioners communicating the above decision did not reach them at all and they were full of hopes that their hard and pitiable case would receiv due consideration and would not be decided ex-parte. The humble petitioners learnt of this only when the Revenue Inspector came to attach their moveable properties to realise the arrears alleged to be due by them. Thereafter the Petitioners applied to the Muzrai Commissioner for a copy of the final order passed, and an authenticated copy of the same is enclosed. It is in these circumstances that the Petitioners were not able to apply to Government for redress in time and they pray that the delay may be condoned and this Petition graciously admitted and justice meted out to them.

7. Petitioners beg to submit that even though this appeal comes within the purview of section 38 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulations, yet they are conscious that their humble representation cannot strictly have the backing of any specified law or custom having the force of law. Petitioners beg that the Petition may not be thrown out on this technical plea alone for they have a prima facie case for gracious consideration by Government justice and equity alike demand that their hard case should be sympathetically examined with reference to the elaborate local enquiries made Government officers and other attendant circumstances and the humble petitioners saved from complete ruination.

8. Petitioners beg to submit that they have unimpeachable evidence to prove to the satisfaction of Government that they did not realize any benefit from the lands even during the years for which they have made payment and that the further demand made of them will completely and irretrievably ruin them. In the event of the parentage Government denying them the justice which is rightly due to them, they shall have no option to abandc their homes and take refuge elsewhere, as they are even now eking out a despicable livelihood. The direction of the respected Muzrai Commissioner to the Deputy Commissioner to the effect that the grant of concession in the case of one of the Petitioners will create inconvenient precedants for other lessees to claim similar concession

concession cannot be substantiated on grounds of moral justice and equity. Each case has to be determined with special reference to the extenuating circumstances and the merits of the claim and a general direction cannot be made applicable to all cases without disastrous and ruinous results.

9. In view of these considerations, among others, the Petitioners most respectfully beg that their peculiarly hard case does not stand on a par with others and needs sympathetic consideration at the hands of Government and in making this appeal they earnestly hope that the elementary principle of justice in affording them an opportunity to place their case before Government will not be denied to them as has been done by the Lower courts without sufficient justification.

The humble petitioners pray that the entire connected records may be sent for and perused and the exparte order of the respected Muzrai commissioner passed without a full and equitable consideration of the facts of the case and of the recommendations made by the local officers after elaborate local enquiry may be reversed and justice meted out to them, they being graciously given an occasion to make their submissions personally. The humble petitioners further pray that in the alternative the case may be remitted to

the respected Muzrai Commissioner with a direction to take the case afresh and decide it on merits after hearing the petitioners.

10. In conclusion, the humble Petitioners pray that pending the disposal of this petition the coercive action that is being taken against them may be ordered to be suspended, as it has compromised their position in the village and is working out their ruin speedily. If so, the Petitioners are prepared to give such security for the arrears alleged to be due by them as may be deemed necessar by Government. An endorsement to this effect may graciously be vouch-safed to them.

For these acts of benevolence and generosity the humble Petitioners will in duty bound, feel ever grateful.

ಲಿಖಿತ

Begs to remain,

The most humble & obedient servants,



Petition Reg:
No. 113 of 34-35
d/20-9-33
Reject

Remission of duties on S.Nos 55-82-108, 110, 111 + 109 of Singanahalli, Krishnaraj pet Taluk endowed to the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud.

Office of the Mussai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 21-1-35

No. D. Dis 721/34-35

Endorsement granted to ~~Jayara~~ Javara Nayaka, Chikakhenya, Yedatore Taluk to petition Registered No. as per margin

The petitioner is informed that the Deputy Commissioner has ~~been~~ already been requested to remit a sum of Rs 165/- in respect of S. No. 109 and that no concession in respect of other ~~S~~ survey numbers can be granted.

Sd/ K. V. Anantaraman
Mussai Commissioner

Copy applied for by No.
Copy ready on 6.4.36
" delivered on 8.4.36.
No. of words 80.
Copying fees 0-2-0
Comparing " 0-0-4.
Paper 0-0-2
Total 0-2-6

Copied by S. Jayaramaiah
Compared by.
" Copy "

Sd/ Ramaswamy Ananda
Manager
Mussai Commissioner's Office

From,

The Amildar Commissioner in
Alpara, Bangalore

To, The Deputy Commissioner,
Alpara District.

No. 2019/29-30. Dated 23.2.33.

Sir, With reference to your letter
No. H 533/32 dated 31-12-32 submitting
a report as to the progress so far
made in the collection of arrears
of gutta on lands at Singanahalli
and Jainahalli, Krishnarajapet Taluk
endowed to the Sri Sri Kankeswara-
swami temple at Kanjangud and
recommending remission of the
gutta amount in the marginally
noted 3 cases, I have the honor to
observe that your recommenda-
tion for remission except as re-
gards S.No: 109 leased to Jawara Naik
cannot be approved as the grant
of concession in these cases will cre-
ate inconvenient precedents for other
lessees to claim similar concession.
The sum of Rs 165/- due from Jawara
naika lessee of S.No. 109 may be re-
mitted as the land is stated to have
been lying fallow. The arrears in
respect of all other lands should
be recovered and a further report

1 Devaramma
widow of Linga
raje Gowda lessee
of S.No: 67-71-73+80.

2 Jawara Naik,
lessee of S.Nos:
55-92-108+109, 110+
111.

3. Dollaiya lessee
of S.Nos: 64+68

submitted in due course. The Vernacular records are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Sd/ S. Hanumaiya
Asst. Commissioner

Copy applied for by Mr Jawaharaik on 6.4.36
" ready on 6.4.36
" delivered on 8.4.36
No. of words 210
Copying fees. 0-6-0.
Comparing " 0-1-0

Total 0-7-0.

Copied by S Jagannadha Rao
Compared by H Hanumantha Rao

" Copy "
D Ramaswamy Ayya
Manager
Asst. Comm's Office

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 22nd December *1936.*

Mog 2.38-3

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

GENERAL SECRETARY. 24 DEC. 1936

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Petition of Javara Naika and Ramiah of Chikkabherya village, Krishnarajnagar Taluk, praying for the remission of gutta in respect of lands endowed to Sri Srikanteswaraswamy Temple at Nanjangud.

Adverting to your Memo No.1456/Muz.238-35-2 dated the 20th April 1936 calling for a report in re. the above, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reports in his letter No.H.1179/35-36 dated the 4th December 1936 (copy enclosed) that, the facts of the case are noted in full detail in his letter No.H.523/32-33 dated 5th January 1933, copy of which was forwarded to the Financial Secretary to Government with this office Memo No.C.2019/32-30 dated the 23rd February 1933.

This office collection on the subject No.D1 Dis.166/36-37 accompanies.

The petition received with your Memo is returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. V. Anantaraman

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.1173/35-36 dated 1-12-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

18

Subject:- Recovery of the arrears of Gutta due to Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud.

(1) With reference to your No.M.S.77/ 5-36 dated 7-1-36 and No.2019/...-30 dated 22-5-36 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the file of records received with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's No.M.5-350 of 30-36 dated 24-11-36 and to state as follows:-

(2) The facts of the case in the matter of the petition of Javaramaika and Kadak bin Dolliah before the Government praying for reduction of Gutta in respect of lands situated in Singanahalli and Jainamalli, Krishnarajpet Taluk belonging to the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud, are fully set out in this office letter No.M.525/35-36 dated 5-1-36. Kind attention is invited to your orders contained in letter No.2019 of 29-30 dated 25-2-36 sanctioning a remission of Rs 165 in respect of the lands leased to Javaramaika and declining to grant any remission to Devirama, widow of Lingaraje Gowda, lessee of x S.Nos.67, 71, 75 and 80 and to Dolliah, lessee of S.Nos.64 and 68 and the second petitioner before the Government, as it will create inconvenient precedents for other lessees to claim similar concessions.

(3) A statement furnishing the details called for in the General Secretary's letter No.1568/Muz.15-30 dated 12-5-36 has been got prepared and enclosed in the records. It may be seen from it that, out of an aggregate demand of Rs 8,364, a sum of Rs 6,257 including the remission of Rs 165 sanctioned as above, has been collected up to the end of 1935-36 leaving a balance of Rs 2,607 for collection on 1-7-1936.

(4) It is further reported that a sum of Rs 15-0-0 has since been collected up to end of October 1936 and that distraint and crop attachment orders have been issued for the recovery of the balance.

"COPY"

M. N. Ramaswamy.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 21st Jany. 1937.

No. 1004
Mez.238-35-4

ENDORSEMENT.

Messrs. Javaranayaka and Ramiah of Chikkabheriya Village, Krishnarajanagar Taluk, Mysore Dist.

With reference to their petition dated 11th April 1936, praying for certain concession in the kutta amounts on certain lands at Ginganahalli and Jainahalli in the Krishnarajapet Taluk, belonging to the temple of Sri Srikanteswaraswami at Nanjangud which were leased to them for 5 years from the year 1928-29 Messrs. Javaranayaka and Ramaiya are informed that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner on the subject and that their petition is rejected.

By Order,

(Sd) M. Venkatarao

~~For~~ General Secretary to Government.

K.M.B.4.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Misc

File No. 2-8-3

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 615

No. 1004

To

Messrs Jayawardhana & Co
Chivakacheriyakkaddy Kachcheri
Vaidyanathan Esqr

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 21/1/37

Signed by [signature]

Write Deeds No. Tasc. 60 dt 11.6.26
praying for Order Conversion
the Grant amount & cadastral
lands at Sangankalli & Annalai
in the Karaitivu Tk, belonging
to the Temple of Sri Selva Kathir-
Swamie at Nainativu. [illegible]
which was [illegible]
years from the 1926 [illegible]
Messrs Jayawardhana and Ramanathan
are informed that [illegible]
no reason to interfere with
order of the Govt Agent
and Tasc Tasc [illegible]

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

TYPING SECTION
21 JAN 1937
GENL

Examined by .....

Write on this Assistant Secretary's Minute

A 1035 Enc 1 from pres of 21/11/35.

Collector re c. returning receipt

received with the letter ~~dated~~ as per

77/35-36 of 22/11/1935. re the subject.

N. O

V.





Before the Government of H.H. The Maharaja

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Muz: Mis No. /36-37.
Muz: App.No. /35-36.

Petitioners:- 1. Javara Naika son of Lakka Naika residing in Chickka Baria Yedathore Taluk.
2. Ramaiah son of Nanjappa Dodda-Baria Yedathore Taluk.

The petitioners most respectfully beg to submit as follows:-

1. On 21-4-1936, the petitioners appealed to this honourable court against the order of the Muzarai Commissioner declaining to remit certain gutta amount due to Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud, of lands situated in Singanahalli village Krishnaraja pet Taluk. The said appeal is still pending disposal.

2. The Taluk authorities are taking serious coercive actions to enforce the order of the Lower court and to recover the amount alleged to be due. Petitioners are law abinding humble and loyal subjects of the Government, paying an assessment of more than 250/- rupees per annum and holding a high status in their community. The simple fact that S.Nos 55 and 109 are lying fallow ever since the termination of the lease in 1933 and that the other four S.Nos. Viz., 82,108,110,and 111 have not fetched even 50 per cent of the previous lease will bear out that the petitioners intentions are bonafide and their grievances are genuine and that they have a prima facie

case for consideration.

3. As a result of the coercive steps taken by the Taluk authorities, the petitioners have not been able to harvest the paddy and if the stack ಮೆದೆ is left unattended immediately, the crop would become useless and rotten. The hay not be fit for fodder and the petitioners completely ruined and their families will have to die of starvation. The waram ryots who have cultivated them would seriously suffered.

4. In view of these considerations the petitioners respectfully pray that the attachment of the paddy may kindly be raised. If the court were to consider that the petitioners are not solvent , they are prepared offer any tangible securities necessary.

5. Since the crop is rotting and becoming useless the petitioners humbly implore a stay order may kindly be passed pending disposal of the original petition.

Son of Lakkanaika

ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪನವರು

dated 22-1-37.
Bangalore

PETITIONERS.

B. Sambanna Rao
Adv.



ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ.

19 —19 ನೇ ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್	ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೋನೆಂಟ್
Vs.	

ಮುಖ್ಯ ರಾಜ್ಯ B. Lakshmana Rao B.A. B.L. Advocate ಗೆ.

ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಫೈರುವರುವಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧನಾವ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ನಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಖುದ್ದು ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದೇನೆ.

ತಾ॥ 22/1/1937 193 ರುಜು

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

B. Lakshmana Rao

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಟ್ಟೇನೆ

B. Lakshmana Rao

ಸಿಕ್ಕುವ ಸ್ಥಳ—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ತಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ .. ವಿದ್ಯಾವಿಲಾಸ ಬುಕ್ ಡಿಪ್ಪೂ.

ದೇವಿಪವರ್ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ ಸರ್ಜಾಪೇಟೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Rev

File No. 238-35

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....71.....

No. 1042

To

(Memo No. 1004/Rev. 238-35 G, 21.1.1937 to Mes. Hamamgarh & Ramnagar of Circle Officer in Village Matha Ajnagar. The Village Officer.)

Copy of the above forwarded to Mr. B. Sukhdeo Rao Pant Advocate for the petitioner requesting to be present on the date fixed. 22.1.37.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue.....28-1-37.....

Signed by.....Mir Safdar Hussain.....

TYPING SECTION, 28 JAN 1937 GAML

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

95 pages

WD 0253—GPL—15 000—26-S-32